

United States Patent and Trademark Office

		L
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COM	MER	C
United States Patent and Trademark Office	e /	٠.
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS//	~ /	
P.O. Box 1450		
Algrandeia Vieninia 22313 1460		

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFI MATION NO. Michihiro Ota 10/044,696 11/01/2001 84042 1278 04/07/2004 **EXAMINER** 7590 WELSH & KATZ, LTD. YOUNG, JOHN L 22nd Floor ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 120 South Riverside Plaza Chicago, IL 60606-3913 3622

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	10/044,696	OTA ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit N		
	John L Young	3622		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		1 1 1		
Period for Reply		19		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period when the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 No</u>	ovember <u>2001</u> .			
	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau	, , , ,			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.		
Attachment(s)	7-5			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da			
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/044,696 Ota et al. Page 2

. Art Unit: 3622

FIRST ACTION REJECTION

DRAWINGS

1. This application has been filed with drawings that are considered informal; however, said drawings are acceptable for examination and publication purposes. The review process for drawings that are included with applications on filing has been modified in view of the new requirement to publish applications at eighteen months after the filing date of applications, or any priority date claimed under 35 U.S.C. §§119, 120, 121, or 365.

CLAIM REJECTIONS — 35 U.S.C. §101

35 U.S.C. §101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101, because said claims are directed to non-statutory subject matter.

As per claims 1-11, as drafted said claims are not within the technological arts (see *In re Waldbaum*, 173 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1972); *In re Musgrave*, 167 USPQ 280 (CCPA 1970) and *In re Johnston*, 183 USPQ 172 (CCPA 1974) also see MPEP 2106 IV 2(b) even though said claims may be limited by language to a useful, concrete and

. Art Unit: 3622

tangible application (See State Street v. Signature financial Group, 149 F.3d at 1374-75, 47 USPQ 2d at 1602 (Fed Cir. 1998); AT&T Corp. v. Excel, 50 USPQ 2d 1447, 1452 (Fed. Cir. 1999).

Note: it is well settled in the law that "[although] a claim should be interpreted in light of the specification disclosure, it is generally considered improper to read limitations contained in the specification into the claims. See *In re Prater*, 415, F.2d 1393, 162 USPQ 541 (CCPA 1969) and *In re Winkhaus*, 527 F.2d 637, 188 USPQ 129 (CCPA 1975), which discuss the premise that one cannot rely on the specification to impart limitations to the claims that are not recited in the claims." (See MPEP 2173.05(q)).

Also, in this case, the claim language is merely non-functional descriptive material disembodied from the technological arts.

CLAIM REJECTION -35 U.S.C. §103(a)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. §103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been

· Art Unit: 3622

obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Ota et al.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Eggleston 3. 6,061,660; class 705/14, (May 9, 2000) [US f/d: 3/18/1998] (herein referred to as "Eggleston").

As per claim 1, Eggleston (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1; FIG. 10; FIG. 11; FIG. 12; FIG. 13; FIG. 14; FIG. 15; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5; FIG. 6; FIG. 7; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; col. 1, ll. 12-67; col. 2, ll. 1-67; col. 3, ll. 1-67; col. 4, ll. 1-63; col. 5, Il. 7-67; col. 6, Il. 1-67; col. 7, Il. 1-67; col. 8, Il. 1-67; col. 9, Il. 1-67; col. 10, ll. 1-67; col. 11, ll. 1-67; col. 12, ll. 1-67; col. 13, ll. 1-67; col. 14, ll. 1-67; col. 15, ll. 1-67; and col. 16, ll. 1-59; col. 17, ll. 1-67; col. 18, ll. 1-67; col. 19, ll. 1-67; col. 20, ll. 1-67; col. 21, ll. 1-67; and col. 22, ll. 1-10; and whole document) shows the method of claim 1.

Eggleston lacks an explicit recital of the elements of claim 1 even though Eggleston shows same. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that Eggleston (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1; FIG. 10; FIG. 11; FIG. 12; FIG. 13; FIG. 14; FIG. 15; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5; FIG. 6; FIG. 7; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; col. 1, 1l. 12-67; col. 2, 1l. 1-67; col. 3, 1l. 1-67; col. 4, 1l. 1-63; col. 5, ll. 7-67; col. 6, ll. 1-67; col. 7, ll. 1-67; col. 8, ll. 1-67; col. 9, ll. 1-67; col. 10, ll. 1-67; col. 11, ll. 1-67; col. 12, ll. 1-67; col. 13, ll. 1-67; col. 14, ll. 1-67; col. 15, ll.

· Art Unit: 3622

1-67; and col. 16, ll. 1-59; col. 17, ll. 1-67; col. 18, ll. 1-67; col. 19, ll. 1-67; col. 20, ll. 1-67; col. 21, ll. 1-67; and col. 22, ll. 1-10; and whole document) would have been selected in accordance with the elements and limitations of claim 1 because claim 1 suffers from undue breadth and because selection of such features would have provided means "to permit sponsors to build, buy, store, modify, offer, track and administer incentive programs and to permit sponsors and retailers to offer improved award fulfillment for participants in incentive programs. . . . " (see Eggleston (col. 5, ll. 45-55)).

As per dependent claims 2-7, <u>Eggleston</u> (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1; FIG. 10; FIG. 11; FIG. 12; FIG. 13; FIG. 14; FIG. 15; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5; FIG. 6; FIG. 7; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; col. 1, ll. 12-67; col. 2, ll. 1-67; col. 3, ll. 1-67; col. 4, ll. 1-63; col. 5, ll. 7-67; col. 6, ll. 1-67; col. 7, ll. 1-67; col. 8, ll. 1-67; col. 9, ll. 1-67; col. 10, ll. 1-67; col. 11, ll. 1-67; col. 12, ll. 1-67; col. 13, ll. 1-67; col. 14, ll. 1-67; col. 15, ll. 1-67; and col. 16, ll. 1-59; col. 17, ll. 1-67; col. 18, ll. 1-67; col. shows the method of claim 1 and subsequent base claims depending from claim 1.

<u>Eggleston</u> lacks explicit recitation of the elements and limitations of claims 2-7, even though the disclosure of <u>Eggleston</u> reasonably shows same.

Official Notice is taken that both the concepts and the advantages of the elements and limitations of claims 2-7 were notoriously well known and expected in the art at the time of the invention. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the elements and limitations of claims 2-7, because said claims suffer from undue breadth and because selection of such features would have

Page 6

. Art Unit: 3622

provided means "to permit sponsors to build, buy, store, modify, offer, track and administer incentive programs and to permit sponsors and retailers to offer improved award fulfillment for participants in incentive programs. . . . " (see Eggleston (col. 5, ll. 45-55)).

Independent claim 8 is rejected for substantially the same reasons as independent claim 1.

As per dependent claim 9, <u>Eggleston</u> (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1; FIG. 10; FIG. 11; FIG. 12; FIG. 13; FIG. 14; FIG. 15; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5; FIG. 6; FIG. 7; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; col. 1, ll. 12-67; col. 2, ll. 1-67; col. 3, ll. 1-67; col. 4, ll. 1-63; col. 5, ll. 7-67; col. 6, ll. 1-67; col. 7, ll. 1-67; col. 8, ll. 1-67; col. 9, ll. 1-67; col. 10, ll. 1-67; col. 11, ll. 1-67; col. 12, ll. 1-67; col. 13, ll. 1-67; col. 14, ll. 1-67; col. 15, ll. 1-67; and col. 16, ll. 1-59; col. 17, ll. 1-67; col. 18, ll. 1-67; col. shows the system of claim 8.

<u>Eggleston</u> lacks explicit recitation of the elements and limitations of claim 9, even though the disclosure of <u>Eggleston</u> reasonably shows same.

Official Notice is taken that both the concepts and the advantages of the elements and limitations of claim 9 were notoriously well known and expected in the art at the time of the invention. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the elements and limitations of claim 9, because said claim suffers from undue breadth and because selection of such features would have

Application/Control Number: 10/044,696

· Art Unit: 3622

provided means "to permit sponsors to build, buy, store, modify, offer, track and administer incentive programs and to permit sponsors and retailers to offer improved award fulfillment for participants in incentive programs. . . . " (see Eggleston (col. 5, 11. 45-55)).

Independent claim 10 is rejected for substantially the same reasons as independent claim 1.

As per dependent claim 11, Eggleston (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1; FIG. 10; FIG. 11; FIG. 12; FIG. 13; FIG. 14; FIG. 15; FIG. 16; FIG. 17; FIG. 18; FIG. 2; FIG. 3; FIG. 4; FIG. 5; FIG. 6; FIG. 7; FIG. 8; FIG. 9; col. 1, ll. 12-67; col. 2, ll. 1-67; col. 3, ll. 1-67; col. 4, ll. 1-63; col. 5, ll. 7-67; col. 6, ll. 1-67; col. 7, ll. 1-67; col. 8, ll. 1-67; col. 9, ll. 1-67; col. 10, ll. 1-67; col. 11, ll. 1-67; col. 12, ll. 1-67; col. 13, ll. 1-67; col. 14, ll. 1-67; col. 15, ll. 1-67; and col. 16, ll. 1-59; col. 17, ll. 1-67; col. 18, ll. 1-67; col. shows the system of claim 10.

Eggleston lacks explicit recitation of the elements and limitations of claim 11, even though the disclosure of Eggleston reasonably shows same.

Official Notice is taken that both the concepts and the advantages of the elements and limitations of claim 11 were notoriously well known and expected in the art at the time of the invention. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the elements and limitations of claim 11, because said claim suffers from undue breadth and because selection of such features would have

Application/Control Number: 10/044,696

Ota et al.

Page 8

Art Unit: 3622

provided means "to permit sponsors to build, buy, store, modify, offer, track and administer incentive programs and to permit sponsors and retailers to offer improved award fulfillment for participants in incentive programs. . . . " (see Eggleston (col. 5, ll. 45-55)).

CONCLUSION

4. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any response to this action may be sent via facsimile to either:

(703) 746-7239 or (703) 872-9314 (for formal communications EXPEDITED PROCEDURE) or (703)

746-7239 (for formal communications marked AFTER-FINAL) or

(703) 746-7240 (for informal communications marked PROPOSED or DRAFT).

Hand delivered responses may be brought to:

Seventh floor Receptionist Crystal Park V 2451 Crystal Drive Arlington, Virginia.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John L. Young who may be reached via telephone at (703) 305-

Application/Control Number: 10/044,696

Ota et al.

Page 9

Art Unit: 3622

3801. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber, may be reached at (703) 305-8469.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

John L. Young

OHN LEONARD YOUNG, ESQ PRIMARY EXAMINER

Primary Patent Examiner

April 5, 2004